## FOX VALLEY COURT WATCH

## QUARTERLY REPORT



SECOND QUARTER REPORT APRIL 1 TO JUNE 30, 2019

## KANE/KENDALL COUNTY

Board Members;

Josephine Budilovsky President Diane Brown Board Member

Jim Kintz 1<sup>st</sup> Vice President Norm Turner Board Member

Steve O'Brien 2<sup>nd</sup> Vice President Tom Raitt Board Member

Bill Roth Treasurer
Lorna Marquis Secretary

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **KENDALL COUNTY:**

Court Watch encourages the County Court system to educate judges hearing domestic violence cases in the dynamics of domestic violence.

We continue to receive monthly updates of domestic trials from the Kendall County states attorney's office. Kendall County case continuation dates are reported by observers, so these cases can be tracked and observed.

Kendall County bond call is now held at 1:00 PM in room 114. Court watch will be observing this process and reporting on domestic violence cases.

Sadly, a recent domestic violence offender, with a lengthy history of abuse against the same victim, was released on a recognizance bond. A few days later the offender killed the victim, plus another person, then took his own life. It is our understanding that the victim was cooperating the prosecution of this crime, and utilizing the services of Mutual Ground.

We urge the use of the sound system and tables in room 114 for domestic violence case proceedings. When victims and family members are sitting in the gallery, they simply cannot hear the proceedings when they are conducted at the bench. This should include the presentation of plea agreements by attorneys.

Recently there were a couple of judge changes, including a retirement announcement and an appointment of a new judge.

### KANE COUNTY:

We urge the County court system to return to a designated court room for felony domestic violence cases. We are hopeful to hear that the Kane County Sherriff is working to partially reinstate the GPS monitoring program by September 2019. Unfortunately, there will not be enough funding to include domestic violence offenders until the middle of 2020. Many others in the domestic violence field recommend both of these practices because this is a specialized crime unlike most crimes.

We are still waiting for a real education program for judges on domestic violence. We hope this situation can be addressed very soon for the sake of the victims of domestic violence. They are the ones who suffer. They are the ones you are committed to protect.

We continue to receive a download of information from Kane County regarding domestic cases.

### **QUARTERLY RESULTS:**

During second quarter of 2019 a total of 96 observations were reported. (Kane County: 42 reports; Kendall County: 54 reports) Several cases were observed and reported on by multiple observers. Court Watch encourages this practice because it provides differing opinions on these cases. Observation outcomes breakdown as follows:

### Kane County:

- 21 cases were continued.
- 4 cases were dismissed.
- 2 cases resulted in a guilty verdict.
- 2 cases resulted in a DV guilty plea.
- 0 cases resulted in a not guilty verdict.
- 3 OP requests were granted.
- 5 OP requests were denied.
- 1 OP request injunction granted.
- 1 VOP denied.
- 1 Bond call DV case was observed.
- 1 case was closed

### Kendall County:

- 14 cases were continued.
- 5 cases were dismissed.
- 7 cases resulted in a guilty verdict.
- 2 cases resulted in a plea to DB charge.
- 2 cases resulted in plea to common battery.
- 3 cases resulted in a not guilty verdict.
- 5 sentencing hearings were observed.
- 11 DB bond call cases were observed.
- 1 order of protection was granted.
- 4 DV case motions were observed.

The following pages display the scores given to the Judges observed and supporting comments. Note: Judges that were observed and reported on only one time during the quarter are not included in this report.

The attached files display the details taken from the observation reports for Kane and Kendall Counties.

2ND Q - 2019	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEEDINGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MGT
TRACY	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
TRACY	4	5	4	4	4	4	2	2
TRACY	3	5	5	5	5	5	<u>2</u> 5	4
TRACY	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
TRACY	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
TRACY	3	<u>5</u>	5	5	5	4	4	5
		<u>5</u>	5	5		5	5	5
TRACY	5				5			
TRACY	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
AVG SCORE	4.3	5.0	4.9	4.9	4.9	4.8	4.5	4.4
ALIDIDILITY	4.2			IDGE TR	ΔCV - 2NI	D Q - 2019		
AUDIBILITY	4.3	-	30	DOL III	ACI ZIVI	DQ 2013		
EYE CONTACT	5.0	-						
EXPLAIN CHARGES	4.9		ME MGT.		-	4.4		
CASE DETAILS	4.9	_	CE TONE EEDINGS			4.5	4.8	
LISTENING SKILLS	4.9	LISTENIN					4.8	
PROCEEDINGS	4.8	CASE	DETAILS =				4.9	
VOICE TONE	4.5	EXPLAIN C					4.9	
TIME MGT.	4.4		ONTACT IDIBILITY		4.3		5.0	)
		_	3.8	4.0	4.2 4.4	4.6 4.8	5.0	5.2
COMMENTS:								3.2
								3.2
Took time to look (		_	o her.					5.2
Took time to look ( Judge was attentiv	e and cons	iderate to	o her. everyone.					3.2
	e and cons	iderate to	o her. everyone.		vailable.			3.2
Took time to look ( Judge was attentiv	e and cons	iderate to asked witn	o her. everyone.	ey were a	vailable.			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentiv When cases were o	re and cons continued a contact wi	iderate to asked with th person	o her. everyone. esses if the	ey were a	vailable.			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentiv When cases were of Always makes eye	e and cons continued a contact wi proceedin	iderate to asked witn th person gs at benc	co her. everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult t	ey were and the second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second wi				3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentive When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin c, 26 were c	iderate to asked witn th person gs at benc ontinued r	co her. everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult t	ey were and the second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second with the second we have a second with the second wi				3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentiv When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of 51 cases on docket	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin c, 26 were c c, 19 were c	iderate to asked with th person gs at benc ontinued r ontinued.	everyone. everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult t mostly due	ey were and king to.  To hear.  To attorne	ey changes			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attention When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of 51 cases on docket 47 cases on docket	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin , 26 were c , 19 were c ort breaks v	iderate to asked with person gs at bencontinued rontinued. waiting for	co her. everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult t mostly due	ey were and king to.  To hear.  To attorned  Tenders to	ey changes			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attention When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of 51 cases on docket 47 cases on docket Judge took two should by the sudge constantly e	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin , 26 were c , 19 were cont breaks w ncouraged	iderate to asked with person gs at bencontinued rontinued. waiting for everyone	everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult to mostly due public def	ey were and king to. to hear. to attorned fenders to p.	ey changes. be availab			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentive When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of 51 cases on docket 47 cases on docket Judge took two sho	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin , 26 were c , 19 were c ort breaks v ncouraged n matter to	iderate to asked with person gs at bencontinued routinued. waiting for everyone preview la	co her. everyone. esses if the she is spea h difficult t mostly due r public def to speak u w on the is	ey were and king to.  To hear.  To attorned fenders to p.  Some present to a to	ey changes. be availab nted.			3.2
Took time to look of Judge was attentive When cases were of Always makes eye First 15 minutes of 51 cases on docket 47 cases on docket Judge took two should by Judge constantly edudge continued of Judge continued of Judge continued of Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Judge continued of Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Judge continued of Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Judge was attentive to Judge continued of Judge was attentive to Jud	re and cons continued a contact wi proceedin , 26 were con , 19 were cont breaks we ncouraged n matter to dismissed,	iderate to asked with person gs at bencontinued routinued. waiting for everyone preview late. I no show	everyone. esses if the she is speath difficult to mostly due to speak uw on the is witness, 4	ey were and king to. It to attorned to attorned to be an attorned to be a substitute of the attorned to be attorned to be a substitute of the attorned to be atto	be availab nted.	le.		J.2

						COURT		TIME	
		EYE	EXPLAIN	CASE	LISTENING	PROCEED	VOICE	MANAGE	
2ND Q -2019	AUDIBILITY	CONTACT	CHARGES	DETAILS	SKILLS	INGS	TONE	MENT	
DALTON	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
DALTON	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	
Average	3.0	5.0	5.0	4.5	5.0	4.5	4.5	5.0	
AUDIBILITY	3.0			JUDGE	DALTON - 2	2ND Q - 2	2019		
EYE CONTACT	5.0			ı					
EXP. CHARGES	5.0		TIME MGT					5.0	
CASE DETAILS	4.5		VOICE				4.5	5.0	
LISTENING	5.0	P	ROCEEDINGS				4.5		
PROCEEDINGS	4.5		LISTENING					5.0	
VOICE	4.5		CASE DETAILS				4.5		
TIME MGT	5.0		XP. CHARGES EYE CONTACT					5.0	
			AUDIBILITY			3.0		5.0	
COMMENTS:				0.0 1.0	2.0	3.0 4.	0 5.0	6.0	
				0.0 1.0	2.0	J.0 T.	3.0	0.0	
ludge was the	only persor	n I could h	ear, could r	ot hear at	torneys or cl	ients.			

2ND Q -2019	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEED INGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MANAGE MENT
MCCANN	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
MCCANN	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Average	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
AUDIBILITY	5		JUD	GE MCC	CANN - 2N	D Q - 20:	19	
EYE CONTACT	5							
EXP. CHARGES	5	TIMI	MGT				5	
CASE DETAILS	5		VOICE				5	
LISTENING	5	PROCEE	DINGS				5	
PROCEEDINGS	5		ENING					
VOICE	5						5	
TIME MGT	5	CASE D	ETAILS				5	
		EXP. CH	ARGES				5	
		EYE COI	NTACT				5	
		AUDI	BILITY				5	
			0	1	2 3	4	5	6
COMMENTS:								
None reported								

2ND Q - 2019	AUDIBILITY	EYE CONTACT	EXPLAIN CHARGES	CASE DETAILS	LISTENING SKILLS	COURT PROCEEDINGS	VOICE TONE	TIME MGT
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	3	5	5
VOILAND	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
VOILAND		5	5	5		5	5	
	5	_		-	5			5
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
VOILAND	3	5	5	5	5	-	5	5
VOILAND	1	5	1	1	5	1 -	1	5
VOILAND	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	1	5			3		4	5
VOILAND	1	5	3	4	5	3	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	2	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	3
VOILAND	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
VOILAND	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	
AVG SCORE	2.6	5.0	4.4	4.7	4.9	4.6	4.7	4.8
AUDIBILITY EYE CONTACT	2.6 5.0		JI ME MGT.	UDGE V	OILAND -	2ND Q - 201		1.8
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES	5.0 4.4	TII		UDGE VO	OILAND -	2ND Q - 201		
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS	5.0 4.4 4.7	TII VOI	ME MGT.	UDGE VO	DILAND-	2ND Q - 201	4	
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS	UDGE VO	OILAND-	2ND Q - 201	4. 4. 4.6	4.9
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS E DETAILS	UDGE VO	DILAND -	2ND Q - 201	4.6 4.6	4.9
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS E DETAILS CHARGES	UDGE VO	DILAND -	2ND Q - 201	4. 4. 4.6	7 4.9 7
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN (	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS E DETAILS	UDGE V		2ND Q - 201	4.6 4.6	4.9
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN (	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS EDETAILS CHARGES CONTACT	UDGE VO			4. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS:	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN ( EYE C	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS IDETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JDIBILITY 0	1	2	2.6	4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible.	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder	TIII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN ( EYE C AL	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS CHARGES CONTACT JDIBILITY 0	1 red so only	2 people at	2.6 3 4 bench can hea	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. F	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder	TII VOI PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN ( EYE C AL of session to use jury	ME MGT. ICE TONE REEDINGS IG SKILLS CHARGES CONTACT JUBILITY 0 I Judge talk y box. Since	1 ed so only	people at ere used th	2.6 3 4 bench can hea	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers	PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN ( EYE C AL of session to use jury	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS DETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JDIBILITY 0 I Judge talk / box. Since	1 eed so only e tables we seems to	people at ere used th	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. I Judge offered cour Overall same probl	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8  Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of cou	PROC LISTENIN CASE EXPLAIN G EYE C AL of session to use jury nearing the	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS CHARGES CONTACT JDIBILITY  1 Judge talk y box. Since E Judge, He ne case had	1 eed so only tables we seems to defedant	people at ere used the go quiet du	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8  Remainder t watchers ems with heart of could to hear parts	of session to use jury nearing the rtroom, or proceeding	ME MGT.  ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS CHARGES CONTACT JUBILITY  1 Judge talk y box. Since e Judge, He ne case had gs or to sco	1 eed so only e tables we seems to I defedant ore the jud	people at ere used the go quiet du who was loge in most	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of coulle to hear plirasek aske	of session to use jury earing the rtroom, or oroceedinged me if I is	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS IDETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUIBILITY  1 Judge talk y box. Since 2 Judge, He ne case had gs or to sco	ed so only e tables we seems to defedant ore the jud estions. Th	people at ere used th go quiet du who was lo ge in most nis was very	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with heterol of couple to hear plirasek asketto sit in jurn	of session to use jury earing the rtroom, or proceeding ed me if I I	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS DETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUBBILITY  1 Judge talk 1 box. Since 1 Judge, He 1 he case had 1 gs or to sco 1 had any qui	ted so only e tables we seems to I defedant ore the jud estions. The	people at ere used th go quiet du who was lo ge in most nis was very	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of couloile to hear plirasek asket to sit in juria 5 because	of session to use jury learing the rtroom, or or occeeding and me if I I y box. I con observer	ME MGT.  ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS CHARGES CONTACT JUBILITY  I Judge talk I box. Since E Judge, He ne case had gs or to sco nad any qui uld hear th sat in jury	ared so only e tables we reseems to defedant ore the jud estions. The proceed box.	people at ere used the go quiet du who was loge in most his was veryings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8  Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of couloide to hear plicated asked to sit in juria 5 because sed the pro-	of session to use jury pearing the rtroom, or coroceeding and me if I I y box. I cooperate coedings of the coe	ME MGT.  ICE TONE  IEEDINGS  IG SKILLS  CHARGES  CONTACT  JUBILITY  O  I Judge talk  y box. Since  e Judge, He  ne case had  gs or to sco  nad any qui  uld hear th  sat in jury  can be hear	ared so only e tables we reseems to defedant ore the jud estions. The proceed box.	people at ere used the go quiet du who was loge in most his was veryings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us There was a lot of cor	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of couple to hear plirasek asked to sit in jurns a 5 because sed the procommotion	of session to use jury learing the rtroom, or oroceeding ed me if I by box. I could observer ceedings caround the	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS IDETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUIBILITY  I JUdge talk I box. Since I Judge, He Ine case had I gs or to sco I nad any qui I uld hear th I sat in jury I can be hear I le bench.	ted so only e tables we seems to defedant ore the judestions. The proceed box.	people at ere used the go quiet du who was longe in most nis was veryings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same proble Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us There was a lot of co	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with heterol of couple to hear pure distance asked to sit in jurn as 5 because and the procommotion so it is diffi	of session to use jury pearing the rtroom, or proceeding ed me if I I y box. I con observer ceedings of around the cult to sco	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS DETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUBBILITY  1 Judge talk 1 box. Since 1 Judge, He 1 he case had 1 gs or to sco 1 had any que 1 lud hear th 1 sat in jury 1 can be hear 1 le bench. 1 ore the judge	ted so only e tables we seems to defedant ore the judestions. The proceed box.	people at ere used the go quiet du who was longe in most nis was veryings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us There was a lot of co Cannot hear judge Completely imposs	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with hatrol of couloide to hear plirasek asket osit in jure a 5 because sed the procommotion so it is diffisible to hear	of session to use jury pearing the rtroom, or or ceeding ed me if I I y box. I cou observer ceedings caround the cult to scool ar what is general control or control or ceedings of around the cult to scool or what is general control or ceedings of around the cult to scool or what is general control or ceedings of around the cult to scool or what is general control or ceedings of the cult to scool or what is general control or ceedings of the cult to scool or	ME MGT.  JUCE TONE  JULE TONE  JU	red so only e tables we seems to defedant ore the jud estions. The proceed box. d in the ga	people at ere used the go quiet du who was longe in most his was veryings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us There was a lot of co Cannot hear judge Completely imposs Video out of service	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with heart of cou- ble to hear particular asked to sit in jurns a 5 because asked the pro- commotion so it is difficult is difficult is difficult is difficult is difficult in the seed to hear particular asked the pro- commotion so it is difficult is difficult in the seed the pro-	of session to use jury earing the rtroom, or oroceeding ed me if I by box. I coursely server ceedings cound the cult to scoon what is get I held in p	ME MGT.  ICE TONE  EEDINGS  IG SKILLS  CHARGES  CONTACT  JUIBILITY  I Judge talk  I box. Since  I Judge, He  Ine case had  I gs or to sco  Inad any qui  I uld hear th  I sat in jury  I can be hear  I in jury  I can be hear  I in jury  I in ju	ted so only te tables we seems to defedant ore the judiestions. The proceed box. The in the gardin	people at go quiet du who was luge in most nis was very ings.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same problem Judge has good coron Contact and Server was unabled and server was unabled at the trial ASA. Judge allowed mere audibility score is a when tables are used to the complete server was a lot of contact and the server was a lot of completely impossible to the complete server with the service s	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with heary lirasek aske to sit in jure a 5 because sed the pro- commotion so it is diffi- sible to hear e, bond cal defense, a	of session to use jury learing the rtroom, or or occeeding ed me if I I y box. I could observer ceedings caround the cult to scour what is gall held in p llowed time.	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS DETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUBBILITY  I Judge talk I box. Since I Judge, He I be case had I gs or to sco I had any qui I lud hear th I sat in jury I can be hear I be bench. I bre the judge I going on. I erson. Coune to meet	ted so only e tables we seems to defedant ore the judiestions. The proceed box. If d in the gardinary ld not hear with defe	people at ere used the go quiet du who was longe in most nis was very ings.  allery.  areas.  r.  andant.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0
EYE CONTACT EXPLAIN CHARGES CASE DETAILS LISTENING SKILLS PROCEEDINGS VOICE TONE TIME MGT.  COMMENTS: Bond call audible. If Judge offered cour Overall same probl Judge has good cor Observer was unab After the trial ASA. Judge allowed me Audibility score is a When tables are us There was a lot of co Cannot hear judge Completely imposs Video out of service	5.0 4.4 4.7 4.9 4.6 4.7 4.8 Remainder t watchers ems with heterol of couple to hear pure distance and the pro- commotion so it is difficult is difficult in the end of the end o	of session to use jury pearing the rtroom, or proceeding the rtroom observer ceedings of around the cult to scour what is gold in pullowed timut explanar	ME MGT. ICE TONE EEDINGS IG SKILLS IDETAILS CHARGES CONTACT JUIBILITY  1 Judge talk If box. Since In Judge, He In e case had In gs or to sco In ad any qui In Judge talk In jury It is in jury It is be hear It is be hear It is jury It is be hear It is jury It is be hear It is jury It is in jury It is be hear It is jury It is in jury It is	eed so only to tables we seems to defedant or the judiestions. The proceed box. If the proceed of the proceed o	people at ere used the go quiet du who was loge in most his was veryings.  allery.  areas.  r.  andant. ard.	2.6 3 4 bench can hea ey declined of uring trials. oud and vulger areas.	4. 4.6 4.4 4.4 7. 4.4	7 4.9 7 5.0

						COURT		TIME
		EYE	EXPLAIN	CASE	LISTENING		VOICE	MANAGE
2ND Q -2019	AUDIBILITY	CONTACT	CHARGES	DETAILS	SKILLS	INGS	TONE	MENT
PILMER	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
			5	<u> </u>	5	5	5	
PILMER	3	3						4
Average	4.0	4.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	4.5
			JL	IDGE PIL	MER - 2N	D O - 201	19	
AUDIBILITY	4.0							
EYE CONTACT	4.0	TIME N	/IGT				4.5	
EXP. CHARGES	5.0	VC	DICE					5.0
CASE DETAILS	5.0	PROCEEDIN	NGS					5.0
LISTENING	5.0	LISTEN	ING					5.0
PROCEEDINGS	5.0	CASE DETA	AILS					5.0
VOICE	5.0	EXP. CHAR	GES					5.0
TIME MGT	4.5	EYE CONTA	ACT				4.0	
		AUDIBI	LITY				4.0	
COMMENTS:			0.0	1.0	2.0 3		5.0	6.0
	ila la la i a	:						
Judge very aud	•	•		n.				
However, both	•							
Busy day, Judg	, -				ng.			
Judge took ber			ed from 1:	15 to 1:30.				
Could hear clea	•							
Could not follo	w proceedir	ngs, had to	check reco	rds for de	tails.			
Case not expla	ined, could r	not hear at	torneys at	bench.				

2nd Quarter 2019

CASE NO	ROOM NO	TRIAL TYPE	JUDGE	STATE	DEFENSE	COMMENTS	OUT
18CM0375 &	_	ВТ	ABRAHAMSON	O'BRIEN & MARSHALL	DOWNS	This was a continuation of BT. There was also a VOP case that defendant was found guilty on. Judge allowed attorneys time to work out a plea agreement. Judge	guilty
18CM0374						said most credible witness was son. Both parties and officer testified earlier. Guilty on 0375, not guilty on 0374. One year probation, evaluation and counseling, fines, no contact.	
18CM3126	209	ВТ	TRACY	MARSHALL	NOSNHOF	State unable to serve witness. Defense ready. Continuance granted because it was first sitting. Next date is 5-22-19 @ 1:30.	cont
18CM2597	209	ВТ	TRACY	MARSHALL	GOLDMAN	State unable to serve witness. Defense ready. Continuance granted because it was first sitting. Next date is 5-29-19 @ 1:30.	cont
18CM2146	209	ВТ	TRACY	MARSHALL	SHEEN	State asked for another continuance. Unable to serve witness. Defense objected. Case dismissed by nolle pros order from state.	dismiss
18CM1766	209	ВТ	TRACY	SADLER	VALDEZ	Translator made it very hard to hear. Plea agreement was reached. Could not hear terms.	guilty plea
18CM0933	209	ВТ	TRACY	SADLER	SABIANO	State still could not serve witness. Second continuance denied. State filed nolle pros motion. Judge dismissed case.	dismiss
190P0242	101	OP HEAR	DALTON	PRO SE	PRO SE	Judge suggested injunctive order after hearing circumstances. Both parties came to understand that the OP was not necessary. Judge did a great job of helping parties	not granted
180P0963	101	OP HEAR	DALTON	Moore		Petitioner and her attorney present. Respondent was again not present. OP granted by default.	granted
17F0713	101	POP	DALTON	SERRANO	PRO SE	case is connected to an OP case, where was petitioner was the t. Judge called the children to testified because he wanted parents to hear had to say.	not
180P1080	101	OP HEAR	DALTON	GAL USED		GAL gave the results of her investigation. Judge did not agree with petitioner to drop the OP. Judge said he was concerned about actions of petitioner and wanted to protect the children.	granted
18CM0276	109	ВТ	TRACY		CONRAD	Defense asked for full juvenille records of witness. State objected to request because all information about those court cases were in police report for this case. Judge denied request for full JV records. Defense requested continuance to file motions.	cont
17CM2474	109	ВТ	TRACY	SHAPIRO	CONRAD	Defense gave judge information about defendants compliance with terms of sentence. State willing to accept RX as proof that psych. Evaluation was done. Judge did not agree, continued matter until defense supplies copy of evaluation.	cont
18CM1064	109	ВТ	TRACY	O'BRIEN	MCDOWELL	This setting was for status for prior DB conviction and BT for current case. State not ready on current case but asked if bond for current case could be added to fines still owed on prior case. Defense argued that all but a small amount had been paid and counseling was completed. Judge combined cases and continued.	cont

2nd Quarter 2019

cont	Defense asked for a continuance because video was given to him that day. State objected because video provided nothing new. Judge admonished state and granted continuance.		MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2547
cont			GASPARRO	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2295
guilty of one of two charges.	This was a bother/sister confrontation. Victim did not want to get her bother in trouble. State did good job of pulling testimony out of victim. No recorded or written statement was taken. Guilty of physical contact charge but not of bodily harm charge.	COOK	STADLER	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM1981
dismiss	Second setting of this BT. Witness not present. Dismissed with nolle pros notion.	BALTIERRES	GASPARRO	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM1974
cont	State not ready due to no show witness. Judge reviewed state effort to locate witness and granted continuance over defense objection. No new date reproted.	KHOLER	SHAPIRO	TEGELER	ВТ	311	18CM1651
cont	Defendant not present on firSt call. On second call case to continued. No new date reported.	WALES		TEGELER	ВТ	311	18CM2419
dimiss	DB with contact and harm. Judge asked ASA this matter was being called. ASA asked for a pass. When it was called again ASA stated that this would be changed to a civil matter.		SADLER	TEGELER	ВТ	311	18CM0862
cont	DB with contact and physical harm. This was motion to cancel op filed by victim to allow defendant to return home. Judge grants motion and sets trial for 6/12/19.	SCHWARTZ		TEGELER	ВТ	311	19CM0943
-	After two hours the observer had to leave court due to a medical appt. Jurists were still being selected. State took 10 minutes to look for information regarding the second charge. Decided not to pursue count two. Judge was clear in what she expected from the attorneys and jurists. No outcome reported.	GIBBS	SADLER	TRACY	JT	209	17CM3399
cont	State requested \$2,000 bond. Released on personal recog., f/u with court services, surrender weapons, ammo, FOID card. One time visit to residence with police to get effects. Next date is May 8,2019.			TRACY	BOND CALL	209	19CM0171
cont	State requested \$30,000 bond due to long history of violence with victim. Judge said \$20,000 bond, stay in Illinois, no contact, follow-up with court services. Next date is May 8, 2019.			TRACY	BOND CALL	209	19CM0143 & 18CM0459
cont	State not ready, victim not present. Defense objected. Judge granted continuance and explained trial in absentia.	TIMARANT		TRACY	ВТ	109	18CM1341
cont	First setting of this case. State unable to get witness of appear. Judge explains trial in absentia if defendant fails to appear.	BALTERIES	SHAPIRO	TRACY	ВТ	109	18CM1974
OUT	COMMENTS	DEFENSE	STATE	JUDGE	TRIAL TYPE	ROOM NO	CASE NO

2nd Quarter 2019

not granted	Petitioner was pro se so did not bring all of the evidence supporting her OP request. Possibly photos and follow up photos would have helped. Respondent's attorney presented strong case.	BROWN	PRO SE	DALTON	OP HEAR	101	190P0411
not granted	Same two parties as case #0355. Petitioner requested a new trail because based on  not the law the Judge should have recused himself. Did not grant OP. grant OP.	PRO SE	PRO SE	DALTON	OP HEAR	101	190P0357
granted	Judge did not mention knowing the petitioner. Respondent asked for judge to recuse himself. Judge did not. Granted OP.	PRO SE	PRO SE	DALTON	OP HEAR	101	190P0355
closed	This was a petition to revoke prior conditional discharges on two cases. Plea agreement reached, jail time served is sufficent plus pay remaining fines of \$800.	CONRAD	MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	14CM2119 & 3369
cont	Judge heard a defense motion to reduce bond. State responded with details of a Public Safety Assess. State added two more charges of resisting officer and interferring with reporting a DV. Judge did not reduce bond and set trial for 6/21/19.	CONRAD	SADLER	TRACY	ВТ	209	19CM0362
cont	State not ready. Three subpeonas issued in Cook county, only one served so far. Asked judge to continue service. Judge granted continuance until 8/5/19.		MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2992
cont	Neither side is ready to proceed. Judge explained trail in absentia after granting continuance.	FIELD	MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	19CM0599
cont	State said she was just given a video on one of the cases, needs time to disclose to defense. Defense objects and says he is ready on other two cases, which include a VOP. All cases continued until 8/7/19.	MIRANDOLA	SADLER	TRACY	ВТ	209	17CM2923, 3533, 18CM2277
cont	Defedant not present because he is in Kane Jail. Judge was not sure about how to proceed with Human Services. They were to have him declared unfit, but have not done that. Clerk made calls and advised court on what to do. Case continued.	CONRAD	SAMS	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2490
cont	Both sides agreed to a continuance. New date 6/2/19.	GONZALEZ	SADLER	TRACY	ВТ	209	19CM1289
Plea guilty to DB.	Several DB cases and existing OP were consolidated into a complex plea agreement. Violation of OP dismissed as part of agreement. Placed on probation until 12/10/20, 26 week DV course, fines, an no contact of any kind.	COPOL	GASPARRO	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2295
cont	Case was continued, but it happened so fast that observer could not hear reason or date.	VALDEZ	MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM1759
cont	Defense asked for dismissal because denied speedy trial. Also challenged discovery cont from state. Judge ruled state must supply specific DB acts, but denied other defense motions. Trial set for 7/10/19.	STEINBERG	MARSHALL	TRACY	ВТ	209	18CM2295
OUT	COMMENTS	DEFENSE	STATE	JUDGE	TRIAL TYPE	ROOM	CASE NO

2nd Quarter 2019

			_ 72
209	101	101	ROOM
VOP	OP HEAR	OP HEAR DALTON	TRIAL TYPE
TRACY	DALTON	DALTON	JUDGE
SADLER	PRO SE	PRO SE	STATE
GIBBS	PRO SE	PRO SE	DEFENSE
State called officer, who had trouble remembering incident. One count dropped due not to clerical error. Judge admonished defense for speaking over others. Judge found guilty not guilty by directed verdict.	Petitioner asking for OP to be extended for two years. Did ot have any proof of stalking. Was not prepared to support her request.	Judge listened to both parties and then presented getting an injunction instead of OP. Both parties agreed to dropping OP.	COMMENTS
not guilty	not granted	Injunctio n granted	OUT

17CM3399

170P0550

190P0417 **CASE NO** 

## **Kendall County Observations**

2nd Quater 2019

cont	DB charge. Next date is 5-6-19.			VOILAND	BOND	114	19CM0141
cont	DB and OP charge. No contact, no firearms, turn in FOID. Next date 6-3-19.			VOILAND	BOND	114	19CM0199
not guilty	Husband/wife argument over wife not picking up kids from school. Officer and both parties testified. Defenses cross of not guilty victim uncovered several discrepancies. Judge stated he felt the evidence did not support a guilty finding.	Mockaitis	JIRASEK	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0412
dismiss	Observer could not hear judge whisper to defendant. State could not locate witness. This is second date. Judge dismissed case.	KOPP	JIRASEK	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0584
cont	Defense motion for continuance was filed. Translator used. State said that defendant presents a dange to women. Next date is 4-15-19.	Montgomery	SLOCA	VOILAND	DEFENS E MOTION	114	18CM0355
cont	DB charge. No contact, court services, no weapons, surrender FOID. Next date 5-8-19.			VOILAND	BOND CALL	114	19CM0151
cont	VOOP with endangerment of minors. GPS monitoring, no contact, pre-trial supervision. Next date is 5-6-19 @ 8:30.			VOILAND	BOND CALL	114	19CM0152
cont	Combining sentencing on a disorderly case with a DB case. Date set for 5-9-19 @ 1:00.	DEITZ	V'DIGG	VOILAND	Sent. Hearing	114	15CM0720 & 17CM0043
cont	OP and DB case will be heard together on 5-30-19	REA	V'DIGG	VOILAND	ВТ	114	19CM0073
guilty	State did excellent job. Also noted that defendant was previously convicted of DB. State asked for 30 days jail time, 24 months probation, 90 day new substance abuse program and no contact. Judge agreed and warned defendant about no compliance.	RESKO	PHELPS	VOILAND	ВТ	114	17CM0691
cont	State said subpoena was issued. Victim not present. Both sides agreed to set trial for 7-3-19.	JANSEK	PHELPS	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0333
cont	Same defendant as above.	Transier	PHELPS	VOILAND	Sent. Hearing	114	15CM0598
cont	Defendant was a no show. Warrant issued.	Transier	PHELPS	VOILAND	Sent. Hearing	114	15CM0788
cont	Dedendant was a no show. Warrant issued.	Transier	PHELPS	VOILAND	Sent. Hearing	114	15CM0800
cont	Two counts charged. Next date is 5/15/19			VOILAND	BOND	114	19CM0191
guilty	Second observation of this trial. Strong case presented. Continued to ask victim questions even though she was not cooperative. Sentencing delayed due to victim's pregnancy.	DEITZ	JIRASEK	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0508
guilty	State called both Yorkville officers. Lead officer did an excellent job of taking photos of scene and both parties. Took a signed statement with good detail. Also called 911 dispatcher. Victim did not remember what happened. Judge found husband guilty on both counts. Sentencing on 6-2-19.	DEITZ	JIRASEK	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0508
not guilty	Second observation of this trial. Dendendant was veteran who defense stated suffers from PTSD. Defense told a very different story. Tables and microphones were used at request of defense. Judge felt that state failed to prove charges.	IVEC	V'DIGG	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0571
not guilty	State called Yorkville officer who did an excellent job of taking pictures of the defendant, the scene, and her injuries. State also played 911 call from victim. State presented strong case. Defense called victim. She denied the photos were of her husband, or that it was her garage. State had victim admit that she did not want to pursue this case. Judge said that he did not feel that the defendant "knowingly" caused physical contact or bodily harm.	IVEC	VDIGG	VOILAND	ВТ	114	18CM0571
granted	OP granted, 500 feet away from residence and storage unit, no rights to children, not married. Next date 5-20-19.	Montgomery	pro se	VOILAND	EOP	114	19OP0100
COME	COMMENTS	DEFENSE	STATE	JUDGE	TRIAL TYPE	ROOM NO	CASE NO

## Kendall County Observations

2nd Quater 2019

	ROOM	TRIAL					TUO
CASE NO	NO	TYPE	JUDGE	STATE	DEFENSE	COMMENTS	COME
19CM140	114	BOND	VOILAND			DB charge. Next date is 4-3-19.	cont
19CM198	114	BOND	VOILAND			DB and Criminal charges. No offensive contact, turn in FOID and guns. Next date is 5-2-19.	cont
		CALL					
17CM0716	114	Sent.	VOILAND	PHELPS	PUBLIC	Sentencing continued until 4-11-19. Check of court records indicated guilty of count 1 - 18 months probations, \$918 in  guilty	guilty
		Hearing				fines. Nolle pro on count 2 of physical battery.	
17CM0489	114		VOILAND	V'DIGG	LACHANSKI	eelchair. Decided to seek private counsel. After much discussion, judge	cont
						ordered defedant to see Dr. to determine if he is fit to stand trial. New date for BT is 7-11-19.	
17CM0288	114	ВТ	VOILAND	V'DIGG	LACHANSKI	Second setting of this four count DB case. Defense requested continuance because new witness came forward late.	cont
						Since officer could also not be present continuance granted until 8-28-19.	
17CM0288	114	ВТ	VOILAND	V'DIGG	LACHANSKI	Second observer report. No new details.	cont
17CM0489	114	ВТ	VOILAND	V'DIGG	LACHANSKI	Second observer report. No new details.	cont
19CM0015	114	ВТ	VOILAND	JIRASEK	LACHANSKI	Defense was ready for trial Defendant is in custody. State's witness was a no show for the first time. New date is 7-31-cont	cont
						19.	
17CM0303	114	ВТ	VOILAND	V'DIGG	MORELLI	lty plea entered. Victim and defendant filed DB charges against each other. Second trial is set for 7-28-19.	guilty
18CM0016	114	ВТ	VOILAND	JIRASEK	JANSKEY	father/son case. Victim present but not defendant son. Also	cont
						second son not present. Case continued until /-1/-19.	
18CM0017	114	ВТ	VOILAND	JIRASEK	JANSKEY	This is second case for this father/son case. No new details. New date is 7-17-19.	cont
18CM0018	114	ВТ	VOILAND	JIRASEK	JANSKEY	This is third case for this family dispute. This is for charges filed against the father by the sons. New date is 6-13-19.	cont
19CM0219	114	bond call	bond call VOILAND	V'DIGG	JANSKEY	Bond call hearing. Bail set at \$3,000. 8 charges filed, 6 DB and 2 resisting arrest. Next status 5-28-19.	cont
10000	444	10102		500		J	) ) ; +

19CM0073

114

ВТ

VOILAND

PHELPS

REA

Plea agreement accepted. Charge reduced to common battery. Six month supervision, anger mgt., fines, no violation

Guilty of

guilty

common

oattery

Guilty plea agreement for primary DB charge. State petition to revoke prior conditional discharge. Sentencing and

state petition to be done on 7-17-19 room 120 @10:30

process. Dismissed with state nolle pro filed

Defendant threw hot water in face and used mace. State witness still a no show. Questions about the subpoena

State pushed hard for going forward with trial. Second observer of this case. After much discussion judge reluctantly

This was a status hearing to set JT date. Defense waived JT and entered a plea of guilty. Sentencing hearing set for

guilty

dismiss

cont

cont

due to language barrier. Translator used to make sure defendant understood he must be in court on 7-16-19. No State ready to proceed. Victim, witnesses, and officers present. Defense claimed they had problems communicating

excuses will be accepted. Judge admonished defense

\$5,000 recog. Bond. No contact for 72hrs, surrender Foid. Next date is 6-26-19

court. Next date is 6-26-19.

Bond set at \$2,000. No contact for 72hrs. Court supervision, surrender FOID Video not working, prisoners brought to

cont

cont

cont cont

cont

Set bond at \$1,500. Assigned public defender. Plea setting date is 5-20-19

Motion to revoke prior plea agreement. Defendant failed to appear and provide evidence that he has complied with

Petition to revoke previous guilty plea agreement. New date to argue motion is 6-13-19.

17CM0750

114

ВП

VOILAND

JIRASEK LUCHANSKY

17CM0018

114

В

VOILAND

JIRASEK

LUCHANSKY

17CM0254

115

 $\vdash$ 

PILMER

**PHELPS** 

SACKMAN

granted continuance.

18CM0600

114

ВТ

VOILAND

JIRASEK

DOWNES

18CMO600

114

В

VOILAND

JIRASEK

DOWNES

18CM0520

14

BOND

VOILAND

CALL

19CM0225

114

V'DIGG

**JANSKEY** 

19CM0242

114

BOND BOND

VOILAND VOILAND

CALL

16CM0926 18CM0061

> 114 114

V'DIGG

JANSKEY JANSKEY

V'DIGG

**JANSKEY** 

114

MOTION VOILAND MOTION VOILAND

## **Kendall County Observations**

2nd Quater 2019

							_
guilty	This was a motion hearing following a guilty conviction on 3-18-19. Sentencing hearing on 7-17-19 in room 112 @ 1:00. per records.	LACHANSKI	SATEHA	VOILAND	ВТ	114	17CM0018
guilty	This was a hearing to consider state motions. Motion to amend complaint was granted. Motion to amend OP partially guilty granted. Found guilty on all 4 counts on 6-19-19. sentencing hearing on 8-16-19 rm 112 at 1:00, per court records.	HANSON	PHELPS	VOILAND PHELPS	Ţ	114	18CM0239
battery	charge of physical contact was reduced to common battery. 6 months probation, no contact, anger mgt class, report to court in 11/19.						
plea to common	Could not hear rulings made by Judge. Both attorneys could be heard. State presented strong case but indicated they plea to were willing to work out a plea agreement. Agreement reached, nolle pros dismissal of bodily harm charge. DB	REA		VOILAND PHELPS	ВТ	114	19CM0073
o dismiss	Witness for state was a no show for second time. Has not responded to state contact attempts. Defense was ready to dismiss proceed. Case dismissed filed Nolle Pro motion.	LACHANSKI	JIRASEK	VOILAND	ВТ	114	17CM0750
er cont	Judge approved agreement to continue case until 8/5/19 because victim not served yet. Defense requested BT rather cont then JT. Judge used microphones. BT in room 114 @ 1:00.	ZAHOUR	PHELPS	PILMER	ВТ	115	18CM0485
cont	Motion filed by defense was ruled on. BT set for 7/1/19.	DIETZ	JIRASEK	MOTION MCCANN	MOTION	114	19CM0015
not guilty	State presented good case. Victim and officer testified for state. Presented photos taken at scene. Defense mostly repeated what state presented. Judge ruled state failed to meet requirements. Gave several reasons.	MOCKILIK		MCCANN SIRAUEK	<u> </u>	114	18CM0641
cont	Victim not in court. Defendant slapped and punched victim. Case continued. New date is 7/29/19 @ 1:00.	JIRASEK LUCHINSKI	JIRASEK	MCCANN	ВТ	114	18CM0243
dismiss	Case dismissed due to no show witnesses.	DIETZ	JIRASEK	MCCANN	ВТ	114	18CM0612
dismiss	Reported that case was continued. See second observer report below. Case was dismissed due to no show victim and witness for the second time.		JIRASEK	MCCANN	ВТ	114	18CM0612
COME	COMMENTS	DEFENSE	STATE	JUDGE	TRIAL TYPE	ROOM NO	CASE NO